

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/739,356	HOU ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Justin R. Fischer	1733

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Justin R. Fischer.

(3) George Grove.

(2) Richard Crispino.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 May 2007

**Time:** 11:00

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Informed Mr. Grove that the arguments presented in the After Final amendment are persuasive and the case will be passed on to issue. Also, the rejections (102e and ODP) in view of Wang (US 6,836,948) were obviated in the response filed April 24, 2006.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)